

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/858,163	Applicant(s) EHRHART, MICHAEL	
	Examiner Kimberly D. Nguyen	Art Unit 2876	

All Participants:

(1) Kimberly D. Nguyen.

(2) Michael Lee.

Status of Application: _____

(3) George Blasiak.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

30 and its dependent claims 31-32 and 53

Prior art documents discussed:

Bunte et al (US 5,821,523)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KDN.
 (Examiner/SPB Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon discussing Bunte et al's reference (figs. 9 and 11; column 4, lines 31-46) corresponding to independent claim 30, Mr. Blasiak agreed to cancel ~~the~~ claims 30-32 and 53 without prejudice and authorized an Examiner's Amendment to incorporate the change^s. Mr. Blasiak also suggested that proposed cancelled claims 30-32 and 53 may be filed in later application(s).